

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

DATE MAILED: 11/17/2003

APPLICATION NO.	- I	TLING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/739,236		12/19/2000	Yutaka Takcishi	00USFP557-M.O.	4462	
466	7590	11/17/2003		EXAMINER		
YOUNG &			AKKAPEDDI, PRASAD R			
745 SOUTI ARLINGT(		I'REET 2ND FLOC 22202	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	,			2871		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				lic			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/739,236	TAKEISHI, YUTAKA				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Prasad R Akkapeddi	2871				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a	ppears on the cover sh	eet with the correspondence addr	ss			
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a report of the provision of the provi	I. 1.136(a). In no event, however, eply within the statutory minimur id will apply and will expire SIX ( ute, cause the application to be	may a reply be timely filed  n of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. 6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comrome ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20	August 2003.					
2a)⊠	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) Thi	is action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-35 is/are pending in the applicatio	n.					
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-15 is/are withdraw						
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>16-35</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)[	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement	nt.				
Applicati	on Papers						
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.					
10)🛛	The drawing(s) filed on <u>19 December 2000</u> is	/are: a)⊠ accepted o	b)  objected to by the Examine	er.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in a	beyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	•	• • •				
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the att	ached Office Action or form PTO-	-152.			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
* S 13)∐ A	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureaucknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic and complete the statement of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureaucknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic and complete the certified reference and complete the certified copies of the priority document and complete the certified copies of the priority document and complete the certified copies of the priority document and complete the certified copies of the priority document and complete the certified copies of the priority document and complete the certified copies of the priority document and complete the certified copies of the priority document and complete the certified copies of the priority document and complete the certified copies of the priority document and complete the certified copies of the priority document and complete the certified copies of the certif	nts have been received nts have been received ority documents have au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)) at of the certified copie stic priority under 35 U	d. d in Application No been received in this National State s not received. S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional ap	pplication)			
3: a	nce a specific reference was included in the fi 7 CFR 1.78. )   The translation of the foreign language pa	rovisional application h	nas been received.				
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domes ference was included in the first sentence of t						
Attachment	t(s)						
2) 🔲 Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 Noti	view Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)cee of Informal Patent Application (PTO-15				

Application/Control Number: 09/739,236 Page 2

Art Unit: 2871

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Response to Amendment

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP 
§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 
CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

# Claim Objections

2. Claim 29 is objected to because of the following informalities:

The recited limitation in the instant dependent claim 'the attachment member comprises a flexible printed board" conflicts with the statement in claim 28 (claim 29 depends on claim 28), i.e., in claim 28 it is recited that "the attachment member fixedly attached only to said circuit board". Hence in claim 28, it appears that the attachment member and the circuit board are two different

Application/Control Number: 09/739,236 Page 3

Art Unit: 2871

elements. Whereas, in claim 29, it appears that they are a part of one element. Clarification is required.

Appropriate correction is required.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 16-27 (amended and original) and 28-35 (new) are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Miyajima et al. (Miyajima) (U.S.Patent No. 4,652,932) in view of the applicant cited reference, Doi Akihiko (Doi) (JP-403116898A) (previously cited).
  - a. As to claims 16, 23: Miyajima discloses a liquid crystal display apparatus comprising a liquid crystal display screen (66) a board (104) used for the liquid crystal display screen, a variable electronic element (118) mounted in a mounting side of the board, the variable electronic element having an operating member (screw) to control an output (variable) to display an image. Miyajima does not explicitly go into any great details of mounting of the variable electronic element. Doi on the other hand, in disclosing an electronic equipment discloses a board (13), a variable electronic element (volume resistor) and operating member (screw) (16), a through hole (17) at a position opposed to the volume resistor.

Art Unit: 2871

The operating member (screw) points in the other side opposite to the mounting side of board and operation through the hole (Fig. 1).

As to the newly recited limitation in claims 16 and 23: Miyajima discloses a lower shield plate (94) that is transparent and fixedly attached to a panel support plate (96) which serves as a reinforcing member for the flexible circuit board (92) (col. 6, lines 12-17) (Fig. 3). Hence the flexible circuit board is fixedly attached to the liquid crystal display (66) via the lower shield plate (94) and the panel support plate (96).

As to the recited limitation in claim 16 "operating said member through said hole from said other side while viewing said image", it has been recognized that "[E]ven though product-by-process claims are limited by and defined by the process, determination of patentability is based on the product itself. The patentability of a product does not depend on its method of production or operation. If the product in the product-by-process claim is the same as or obvious from a product of the prior art, the claim is unpatentable even though the prior product was made by a different process." In re Thorpe, 777 F.2d 695, 698, 227 USPQ 964, 966 (Fed. Cir. 1985)

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to adapt the mounting configuration as disclosed by Doi to the display device of Miyajima such that the device can be well handled (abstract).

Art Unit: 2871

As to claims 17-22, 24-27 (original): Doi discloses in Fig. 1 that the operating member (16) does not project from other side, since it is accessible through a printed circuit board (13) and the mounting configuration of the variable electronic element (16) through the printed circuit board; the covering of the hole by the printed circuit board (Fig. 1); the floating of the variable electronic element can also be seen in Fig. 1. Doi discloses the operation of the variable electronic element with a screw driver (20) through the hole (17) and as such the printed circuit board protects the element from mechanical stress and when such a board is mounted in the display of Miyajima, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the state of the art that such action will not apply any mechanical stress to the liquid crystal display screen, because the panel (66) has a lower shield plate (94) which is also supported by a support plate (96) and overlaps the display screen. Doi discloses that the variable electronic element is mounted through an attachment member (19) electronically and mechanically connected to the side which covers the hole; the recessed portion is shown with dashed lines.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to adapt the mounting configuration as disclosed by Doi to the display device of Miyajima such that the device can be handled rather easily.

As to claims 28-35 (new): Miyajima discloses a liquid crystal display screen (66), a signal processing circuit board (92), an attachment member (94

Art Unit: 2871

and 96) fixedly attached to the circuit board (col. 6,lines 12-23), a variable electronic element (118), the electrical contacts (80a, 80b) (conductive bumps).

Page 6

As described above Doi fills in some of the limitations that are missing from Miyajima's teachings such as the accessibility of the hole from the other side of the circuit board, the recessing nature of the variable resistor can clearly be seen in the teachings of Doi (Fig. 1), the operating member (16) (screw) being substantially flush can also be seen from Fig. 1. Miyajima's teachings include variable resistors (118), capacitors (116).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to adapt the mounting configuration as disclosed by Doi to the display device of Miyajima such that the device can be handled rather easily.

## Response to Arguments

- 5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 16-27 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 6. In response to applicant's argument that there is no suggestion to combine the references, the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See *In re Fine*, 837 F.2d 1071, 5

Art Unit: 2871

, ....

USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988)and *In re Jones*, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, the teachings of Miyajima and Doi can be combined because they both apply to electronic equipment comprising of circuit boards, resistors, capacitors etc., and the mounting techniques, such as floating, mounting and accessing an element through a hole are quite common for these types of devices.

art, it has been held that a prior art reference must either be in the field of applicant's endeavor or, if not, then be reasonably pertinent to the particular problem with which the applicant was concerned, in order to be relied upon as a basis for rejection of the claimed invention. See *In re Oetiker*, 977 F.2d 1443, 24 USPQ2d 1443 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, the teachings of Miyajima and Doi are analogous because they both apply to electronic equipment comprising of circuit boards, resistors, capacitors etc., and the mounting techniques, such as floating, mounting and accessing an element through a hole are quite common for these types of devices.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Prasad R Akkapeddi whose telephone number is 703-305-4767. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00AM to 5:30PM M-Th.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert H Kim can be reached on 703-305-3492. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Art Unit: 2871

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0530.

Prasad R Akkapeddi, Ph.D Examiner Art Unit 2871 Page 8

\*\*\*